# AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF ELEZOVIĆ-GIORDANO-PEČARIĆ'S THEOREM

### FENG QI AND BAI-NI GUO

ABSTRACT. In the present note, an alternative proof is supplied for Theorem 1 in [N. Elezović, C. Giordano and J. Pečarić, *The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **3** (2000), 239–252.].

#### 1. Introduction

Let s and t be real numbers with  $t - s \neq \pm 1$ . For  $x \in (-\alpha, \infty)$ , define

$$z_{s,t}(x) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+t)}{\Gamma(x+s)}\right]^{1/(t-s)} - x, & s \neq t, \\ e^{\psi(x+s)} - x, & s = t, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\alpha = \min\{s, t\},\$ 

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{2}$$

for x > 0 stands for the classical Euler's gamma function, and  $\psi(x)$  denotes the psi or digamma function, the derivative of the logarithm  $\ln \Gamma(x)$ .

In order to bound the ratio of two gamma functions from both sides, N. Elezović, C. Giordano and J. Pečarić proved in [2, Theorem 1] the following monotonicity and convexity results of the function  $z_{s,t}(x)$ .

**Theorem 1.** The function  $z_{s,t}(x)$  is either convex and decreasing for |t-s| < 1 or concave and increasing for |t-s| > 1.

The explicit or implicit origins and background of this theorem may be traced back to [3, 5, 18, 20] and [6, Theorem 2]. This theorem or its special cases have been proved several times by different approaches in, for example, [1, 6, 8, 11, 16, 17, 18]. For detailed information on its history, please refer to the survey article [9] published as a preprint recently.

The purpose of this note is to supply an alternative proof for Theorem 1.

### 2. Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 1 alternatively, the following lemmas are necessary.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A48, 26A51, 26D20, 33B15.

Key words and phrases. an alternative proof, Elezović-Giordano-Pečarić's theorem, monotonicity, convexity, ratio of two gamma functions, convolution theorem of Laplace transforms.

The first author was partially supported by the China Scholarship Council.

This paper was typeset using  $A_MS$ -IATEX.

**Lemma 1** ([7, p. 16]). The polygamma functions  $\psi^{(n)}(x)$  can be expressed for x > 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as

$$\psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-xt} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
 (3)

**Lemma 2** ([19]). Let  $f_i(t)$  for i = 1, 2 be piecewise continuous in arbitrary finite intervals included on  $(0, \infty)$ , suppose there exist some constants  $M_i > 0$  and  $c_i \ge 0$  such that  $|f_i(t)| \le M_i e^{c_i t}$  for i = 1, 2. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^t f_1(u) f_2(t-u) \, \mathrm{d}u \right] e^{-st} \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty f_1(u) e^{-su} \, \mathrm{d}u \int_0^\infty f_2(v) e^{-sv} \, \mathrm{d}v. \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta > \alpha \geq 0$  with  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 1)$ , let

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\alpha u} - e^{-\beta u}}{1 - e^{-u}}, & u \neq 0; \\ \beta - \alpha, & u = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (5)

- (1) The function  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  is logarithmically convex for  $\beta \alpha > 1$  and logarithmically concave for  $0 < \beta \alpha < 1$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .
- (2) For  $\beta \alpha > 1$ , the function

$$Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u) = q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)q_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda - u) \tag{6}$$

is increasing on  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{2},\infty\right)$  and decreasing on  $\left(-\infty,\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$ , where  $\lambda$  is any real constant; For  $0 < \beta - \alpha < 1$ , it is decreasing on  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{2},\infty\right)$  and increasing on  $\left(-\infty,\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the function  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  can be rewritten as

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \frac{\sinh((\beta - \alpha)u/2)}{\sinh(u/2)} \exp\frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)u}{2} \triangleq p_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right).$$

Since the functions  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  and  $p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  are positive for  $\beta > \alpha$ , taking the logarithm of  $p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  and differentiating yield

$$\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \ln \sinh((\beta - \alpha)u) - \ln \sinh u + (1 - \alpha - \beta)u,$$

$$[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]' = (\beta - \alpha) \coth((\beta - \alpha)u) - \coth u - \alpha - \beta + 1,$$

$$[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]'' = \frac{1}{u^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{u}{\sinh u}\right)^2 - \left[\frac{(\beta - \alpha)u}{\sinh((\beta - \alpha)u)}\right]^2 \right\}$$

$$\triangleq \frac{[h(u)]^2 - [h((\beta - \alpha)u)]^2}{u^2}.$$

It is clear that the functions h(u) and  $[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]''$  are even and the former is positive on  $(-\infty,\infty)$ , increasing on  $(-\infty,0)$  and decreasing on  $(0,\infty)$ . As a result,

- (1) for  $\beta \alpha > 1$ , if u > 0, then  $(\beta \alpha)u > u > 0$  and  $h((\beta \alpha)u) < h(u)$ , and so  $[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]'' > 0$  on  $(0,\infty)$ ;
- (2) for  $\beta \alpha > 1$ , if u < 0, then  $(\beta \alpha)u < u < 0$  and  $h((\beta \alpha)u) < h(u)$ , and so  $[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]'' > 0$  on  $(-\infty,0)$ ;
- (3) for  $0 < \beta \alpha < 1$ , if u > 0, then  $0 < (\beta \alpha)u < u$  and  $h((\beta \alpha)u) > h(u)$ , and so  $[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]'' < 0$  on  $(0,\infty)$ ;
- (4) for  $0 < \beta \alpha < 1$ , if u < 0, then  $0 > (\beta \alpha)u > u$  and  $h((\beta \alpha)u) > h(u)$ , and so  $[\ln p_{\alpha,\beta}(u)]'' < 0$  on  $(-\infty,0)$ .

From the obvious relationship  $p_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = q_{\alpha,\beta}(2u)$  on  $(-\infty,\infty)$ , the logarithmically convex properties in Lemma 3 follows readily.

Taking the logarithm of  $Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)$  and differentiating give

$$[\ln Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)]' = \frac{q'_{\alpha,\beta}(u)}{q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)} - \frac{q'_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda - u)}{q_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda - u)}.$$

For  $\beta-\alpha>1$ , by the logarithmic convexities of  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ , it follows that the function  $\frac{q'_{\alpha,\beta}(u)}{q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)}$  is increasing and  $\frac{q'_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda-u)}{q_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda-u)}$  is decreasing on  $(-\infty,\infty)$ ; From the obvious fact that  $[\ln Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)]'|_{u=\lambda/2}=0$ , it follows that  $[\ln Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)]'>0$  for  $u>\frac{\lambda}{2}$  and  $[\ln Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)]'<0$  for  $u<\frac{\lambda}{2}$ ; Hence, the function  $Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)$  is increasing for  $u>\frac{\lambda}{2}$  and decreasing for  $u<\frac{\lambda}{2}$ . Similarly, for  $0<\beta-\alpha<1$ , the function  $Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)$  is decreasing for  $u>\frac{\lambda}{2}$  and increasing for  $u<\frac{\lambda}{2}$ . The proof of Lemma 3 is proved.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.** For  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\ln x - \frac{1}{x} < \psi(x) < \ln x - \frac{1}{2x} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} < \psi'(x) < \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}.$$
 (8)

*Proof.* This may be derived easily from the fact [15, p. 82] that a completely monotonic function which is non-identically zero cannot vanish at any point on  $(0, \infty)$  and the complete monotonicity obtained in [12, Theorem 2] and [13, Theorem 2]: The function  $\psi(x) - \ln x + \frac{\alpha}{x}$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $\alpha \ge 1$  and so is the function  $\ln x - \frac{\alpha}{x} - \psi(x)$  if and only if  $\alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 3. An alternative proof of Theorem 1

Since  $z_{s,t}(x) = z_{t,s}(x)$ , without loss of generality, we can assume  $t > s \ge 0$  and  $t - s \ne 1$  in what follows.

Differentiation of  $z_{s,t}(x)$ , utilization of (3) and application of Lemma 2 yield

$$z'_{s,t}(x) = \frac{[z_{s,t}(x) + x][\psi(x+t) - \psi(x+s)]}{t - s} - 1, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{z''_{s,t}(x)}{z_{s,t}(x) + x} = \left[\frac{\psi(x+t) - \psi(x+s)}{t - s}\right]^2 + \frac{\psi'(x+t) - \psi'(x+s)}{t - s}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t \psi'(x+u) \, \mathrm{d}u\right]^2 + \frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t \psi''(x+u) \, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t \int_0^\infty \frac{v e^{-(x+u)v}}{1 - e^{-v}} \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}u\right]^2 - \frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t \int_0^\infty \frac{v^2 e^{-(x+u)v}}{1 - e^{-v}} \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{v e^{-xv}}{1 - e^{-v}} \cdot \frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t e^{-uv} \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v\right)^2 - \int_0^\infty \frac{v^2 e^{-xv}}{1 - e^{-v}} \cdot \frac{1}{t - s} \int_s^t e^{-uv} \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$= \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xv}}{1 - e^{-v}} \cdot \frac{e^{-sv} - e^{-tv}}{t - s} \, \mathrm{d}v\right)^2 - \int_0^\infty \frac{v e^{-xv}}{1 - e^{-v}} \cdot \frac{e^{-sv} - e^{-tv}}{t - s} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{(t - s)u} \int_0^u q_{s,t}(r) q_{s,t}(u - r) \, \mathrm{d}r - q_{s,t}(u)\right] u e^{-xu} \, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{(t-s)u} \int_0^u Q_{s,t;u}(r) \, \mathrm{d}r - q_{s,t}(u) \right] u e^{-xu} \, \mathrm{d}u. \tag{10}$$

If t-s>1, by the monotonicity of  $Q_{s,t;\lambda}(u)$  in Lemma 3, it follows easily that

$$Q_{s,t;u}(r) \le Q_{s,t;u}(0) = Q_{s,t;u}(u) = q_{s,t}(0)q_{s,t}(u) = (t-s)q_{s,t}(u)$$

consequently, the bracketed term in the line (10) is negative on  $(0, \infty)$ , and so  $z''_{s,t}(x) < 0$ . If 0 < t - s < 1, the similar argument leads to  $z''_{s,t}(x) > 0$ . The convex and concave properties of  $z_{s,t}(x)$  are proved.

By the mean value theorem, it is immediate that

$$z'_{s,t}(x) + 1 = \left[ \left( \frac{\Gamma(x+t)}{\Gamma(x+s)} \right)^{1/(t-s)} \frac{\psi(x+t) - \psi(x+s)}{t-s} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\psi(x+t) - \psi(x+s)}{t-s} \exp \frac{\ln \Gamma(x+t) - \ln \Gamma(x+s)}{t-s}$$

$$= \psi'(x+\xi_1) e^{\psi(x+\xi_2)}, \quad \xi_i \in (s,t) \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

By inequalities in (7) and (8), it is ready to obtain

$$\left[\frac{x+\xi_2}{x+\xi_1} + \frac{x+\xi_2}{2(x+\xi_1)^2}\right] \frac{1}{e^{1/(x+\xi_2)}} < z'_{s,t}(x) + 1 < \left[\frac{x+\xi_2}{x+\xi_1} + \frac{x+\xi_2}{(x+\xi_1)^2}\right] \frac{1}{e^{1/2(x+\xi_2)}}$$

which means  $\lim_{x\to\infty} z'_{s,t}(x)=0$ . For t-s>1, the conclusion that  $z''_{s,t}(x)\leq 0$  obtained above implies  $z'_{s,t}(x)$  is decreasing, and so  $z'_{s,t}(x)>0$  and  $z_{s,t}(x)$  is increasing. For 0< t-s<1, the result that  $z''_{s,t}(x)\geq 0$  obtained above implies  $z'_{s,t}(x)$  is increasing, and so  $z'_{s,t}(x)<0$  and  $z_{s,t}(x)$  is decreasing. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 4. Some remarks

Remark 1. The logarithmically convex properties of  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  on  $(-\infty,0)$  in Lemma 3 of this paper corrects some mistakes appeared in [16, Lemma 1] and [17, Lemma 1]. However, these mistakes did not affect the correctness of the proof provided in [16, 17] for Theorem 1, since properties of  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  on  $(-\infty,0)$  are idle there.

Remark 2. The logarithmically convex properties in Lemma 3 of this paper were also proved in [10] by using different techniques. Also see [4] and related references therein.

Remark 3. It is well-known that a positive and k-times differentiable function f(x) is said to be k-log-convex (or k-log-concave, respectively) on an interval I with  $k \geq 2$  if and only if  $[\ln f(x)]^{(k)}$  exists and  $[\ln f(x)]^{(k)} \geq 0$  (or  $[\ln f(x)]^{(k)} \leq 0$ , respectively) on I. The 3-log-convex properties of  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  were already obtained in [14, Theorem 1.1]: For  $1 > \beta - \alpha > 0$ , the function  $q_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$  is 3-log-convex on  $(0,\infty)$  and 3-log-concave on  $(-\infty,0)$ ; For  $\beta - \alpha > 1$ , it is 3-log-concave on  $(0,\infty)$  and 3-log-convex on  $(-\infty,0)$ .

### References

- [1] Ch.-P. Chen, Monotonicity and convexity for the gamma function, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (2005), no. 4, Art. 100; Available online at http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php? sid=574.
- [2] N. Elezović, C. Giordano and J. Pečarić, The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality, Math. Inequal. Appl. 3 (2000), 239–252.

- [3] W. Gautschi, Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1959/60), 77–81.
- [4] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, Properties and applications of a function involving exponential functions, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 8 (2009), no. 4, in press.
- [5] D. Kershaw, Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function, Math. Comp. 41 (1983), 607–611.
- [6] I. Lazarević and A. Lupaş, Functional equations for Wallis and Gamma functions, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Univ. Beograd. Ser. Electron. Telecommun. Automat. No. 461-497 (1974), 245-251.
- [7] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R. P. Soni, Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [8] F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving divided differences of psi and polygamma functions and an application, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 8; Available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n4.asp.
- [9] F. Qi, Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 11 (2008), no. 3, Art. 1; Available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v11n3.asp.
- [10] F. Qi, Monotonicity and logarithmic convexity for a class of elementary functions involving the exponential function, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 3, Art. 3; Available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n3.asp.
- [11] F. Qi, The best bounds in Kershaw's inequality and two completely monotonic functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 2; Available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n4.asp.
- [12] F. Qi, Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), no. 7, 503–509.
- [13] F. Qi, Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), Suppl., Art. 6; Available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9(E).asp.
- [14] F. Qi, Three-log-convexity for a class of elementary functions involving exponential function, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory 1 (2006), no. 2, 100–103.
- [15] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo and Ch.-P. Chen, Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 80 (2006), 81–88.
- [16] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo and Ch.-P. Chen, The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9 (2006), no. 3, 427–436.
- [17] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo and Ch.-P. Chen, The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 2, Art. 17; Available online at http://www.staff. vu.edu.au/rgmia/v8n2.asp.
- [18] G. N. Watson, A note on gamma functions, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 11 (1958/1959), no. 2, Edinburgh Math Notes No. 42 (misprinted 41) (1959), 7–9.
- [19] E. W. Weisstein, Laplace Transform, From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource; Available online at http://mathworld.wolfram.com/LaplaceTransform.html.
- [20] J. G. Wendel, Note on the gamma function, Amer. Math. Monthly 55 (1948), no. 9, 563-564.
- (F. Qi) RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITY THEORY, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN PROVINCE, 454010, CHINA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$  qifeng6180gmail.com, qifeng6180hotmail.com, qifeng6180qq.com URL: http://qifeng618.spaces.live.com

(B.-N. Guo) School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$  bai.ni.guo@mail.com, bai.ni.guo@hotmail.com URL: http://guobaini.spaces.live.com